RINGMER PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of the Full Council Meeting
Held on Tuesday 11th November 2025 at 19.00
In the Parish Rooms, Lucy Stone Room

Present:			
Cllr Nick Chaloner (NC)	Cllr Margaret Crompton (MC)	Cllr David Duke (DD)	Cllr Linda Grange (LG)
Cllr John Kay (JK)	Cllr Marcia Morgan (MM)	Cllr Gordon Sims (GS) Chair	Cllr Jonathan Spencer (JS)
Cllr Alan West (AW)	Cllr John Whitlock (JW) - Chairing		
Other Attendees:			
District/County Cllr			
Other	Annie Hazzard (AH) – Clerk	Carol Hodgson (CH) - Admin	
Members of Public	2		

1.	**To receive and accept apologies for absence** All present.	
2.	**Declarations of Interest:** Disclosure by Councillors of their personal interests regarding matters on the agenda, and whether the councillor regards their interest as prejudicial under the terms of the Code of Conduct. None received.	
3.	**Dispensation requests** Cllr Alan West had requested a dispensation concerning his role as Trustee of Ringmer Village Hall. It was agreed that Cllr Alan West is permitted to comment on issues related to Ringmer Village Hall but may not participate in voting on these matters. The dispensation will be in place until the end of term of office in 2027.	
4.	**Minutes of previous meeting** To agree the Full Council minutes of the meeting held on 14th October '25 **RESOLVED: To approve and sign the minutes of the full council meeting held on 14th October 2025.**	
5.	**Public questions or comments:** Up to 15 minutes (3 minutes per person) will be available for the public to make representations or questions. Please note that under Data protection regulations we ask members of the public to only state their name if they agree to their name being recorded. A representative of Cheyney Trust spoke in relation to item 10.2 on the agenda, reiterating the points outlined in the Clerk's Report concerning recurrent flooding at Cheyney Field, for which the Parish Council, as leaseholder, is responsible. It was noted that the ditch in front of the Bowls Club is currently obstructed with debris, and the Parish Council is being requested to clear this. This action would allow relevant societies to approach Southern Water regarding the possibility of developing a scheme to redirect water into storm drains.	
6.	**If the Committee wishes to exclude the public for a particular agenda item, the following resolution must be passed:** 'That under the Public Bodies (Admission to Meetings) Act 1960, the public and representatives of the press and broadcast media be excluded from the meeting during the consideration of the following items of business as publicity would be prejudicial to the public interest because of the confidential nature of the business to be transacted.' None required.	
7.	**Reports (Up to 15 mins) –** To receive and accept any reports submitted i. Clerk ii. MP iii. East Sussex County Councillor iv. Lewes District Councillor(s) v. PCSO Reports from The Clerk, James MacCleary MP, Cllr Emily O'Brien and PCSO Alan Ash had been previously circulated and were noted. PCSO Report: Confirmation was requested on the order of the incidents detailed on the November report. The updates on incidents were appreciated by Councillors.	OFF
8.	**Co-Option of Councillors** To consider any expressions of interest in accordance with Parish Council's Standing Orders. None received.	
9.	**Finance Matters**	
9.1	To receive the latest Financial Reports. Received and noted.	
9.2	To approve and sign the latest bank reconciliation. **RESOLVED: The latest bank reconciliation was approved and signed.**	
9.3	To approve and sign the list of payments. **RESOLVED: The list of payments was approved and signed.**	

9.4	To receive suggestions for the use of the Parish Council's unallocated CIL funds. Various suggestions were received and discussed by Councillors. A list would be compiled for further consideration. **ACTION: Office to compile a list.**	OFF
10.	**Open Spaces and Related Matters**	
10.1	To consider quotes for supply and installation of a Christmas tree including lighting on the village green – see Clerk's Report. **RESOLVED: To accept the quote from Newtimber Ltd** **ACTON: Office to instruct Newtimber Ltd to proceed.**	OFF
10.2	To consider a request from Ringmer Cricket Club to clear the ditch running along the front of the Bowling Club – see Clerk's Report. **RESOLVED: To clear the ditch running along the front of the Bowling Club.** **RESOLVED: To accept the quote from Best Construction.** **ACTION: Office to instruct Best Construction to go ahead with the work.**	OFF
10.3	To receive an update re LDC asset devolution and to consider applying for any available assets. The available assets in Ringmer are Fingerpost Field and the open space at The Forges. These were reviewed as part of the LDC asset devolution process. **RESOLVED: To apply for Fingerpost Field to be transferred to Ringmer PC.** **ACTION: Office to action above.**	OFF
10.4	To consider the quote from ESCC to supply and fit directional signs to the Parish Council Office. See Clerk's Report. **RESOLVED: To accept the quote from ESCC to supply and fit directional signs to the Parish Council Office. To be funded from General Reserves.** **ACTION: Office to instruct ESCC to proceed.**	OFF
10.5	To receive the annual allotment inspection report and consider any recommendations. The annual allotment inspection report had been circulated prior to the meeting. **RESOLVED: To contact the tenants whose fences, need repairing.** **ACTION: DD to advise the office which fences need attention and the office to action above.** **RESOLVED: In the interest of clarity, the plot number labels will be replaced. A budget of £250 has been approved for this purpose.** **ACTION: Office to action above**	DD/ OFF OFF
10.6	To receive an update on Almond Field. DD reported that in 2025, 36 native flowering plant species for pollinators were recorded. Path maintenance and blackthorn clearance continue through winter. Grazing was halted this year due to changes in shepherds; a new contact in Ditchling is interested if the cemetery boundary is secured. Thanks to Linda Grange for arranging a meeting with SDNPA representatives, whose site visit on 05/11/25 provided positive feedback on progress. Priorities now include securing the boundary, creating a pond, and ongoing maintenance and planting to boost biodiversity. Planning regulations and potential funding for the pond and fencing were also discussed.	
10.7	To receive the Ringmer Village Green baseline pond condition report and consider any actions. The report had been circulated prior to the meeting and the consultant's recommendations were considered. **RESOLVED: To contact The Conservation Society for advice on what would be involved both cost and timewise to implement points 2 and 4 of the report recommendations:** **Pt.2 Remove invasive shrubs (Bamboo and Portuguese Laurel) and consider re-planting with native flowering and fruiting species such as Hawthorn, Blackthorn, Crab Apple, Rowan, Wild Service.** **Pt.4 Continue sensitive coppicing of small stands of trees on north bank to encourage regeneration, never impacting more than 1/4 of the area in a 3 year period. Stack the cut materials in dense habitat piles. To be sensibly done, not to expose the north bank.** **RESOLVED: To install signage regarding feeding ducks and a wildlife information board.** **ACTION: Office to ask TCV for costs on points 2 and 4. Obtain signage quotes.**	OFF
10.8	To consider quotes for the work required to the stonework on the churchyard wall at St Marys Ringmer – Organ Blower House. **RESOLVED: To accept the quote from T E Tilley Ltd. To be funded from Churchyard budget and General Reserves.** **ACTION: Office to action the above.**	OFF

10.9	To consider options for Urban Grass Cutting 2026/7 (response by 28/11/25) **RESOLVED: To continue with the standard cut – Option 1: Two cuts over the course of a year to be carried out by ESCC at no cost to Parish Council. Urban grass will be managed for safety purposes only.** **ACTION: Office to action the above.**	OFF
11.	**General**	
11.1	To consider and adopt an Artificial Intelligence (AI) Policy **RESOLVED: To adopt the Artificial Intelligence (AI) Policy** **ACTION: Office to add to website**	OFF
11.2	To consider and adopt a Grievance Policy **RESOLVED: To adopt the Grievance Policy.** **ACTION: Office to add to website**	OFF
12.	**Planning Matters –** To consider responses to Planning Applications:	
12.1	**LW/25/0545 - 4 Springett Avenue Ringmer BN8 5HB** Removal of existing detached garage, erection of two-storey side/rear extension and alterations to fenestration at rear elevation (Response by 06/11/25 extn agreed) **RESOLVED: Parish Council decision: Objects on the following grounds, including non-compliance with relevant local planning policies:** **1. Insufficient Parking Provision** **The proposal provides only two parking spaces once the garage is removed, but this is contrary to the Ringmer Neighbourhood Plan, which requires higher parking provision for larger dwellings (Policy 8.3 – as the parish council notes). This shortfall is also inconsistent with the Neighbourhood Plan's Vision (Ringmer to 2030) for "adequate parking.** **2. Poor Design: Front Elevation and Massing** **Under Lewes District Local Plan Part 2, Policy DM28: Residential Extensions* requires that "the materials and design, including the pitch, style and span of the roof, complement and enhance the character … of the host building."** **Moreover, DM28 specifies that the design should "respond positively to the scale, height, … bulk, massing … of adjacent properties and the wider street-scene."** **The proposed first-floor overhang above the main entrance door is at odds with this requirement. To be acceptable, the extension should be stepped back so that the first-floor elevation aligns more naturally with the ground floor and with adjacent buildings, maintaining a coherent street rhythm.** **In addition, DM28 states that in streets "which have a definite architectural rhythm and similar style of dwelling, front extensions will not normally be acceptable." The proposed front overhang /extension appears to conflict with this, undermining the established character of the street.** **3. Inappropriate Roof Form / Scale** **DM28 requires that roof design (pitch, style, span) should complement the host building.** **The proposal's roof ridge is too high and dominant. A more "sub-servient" roof design — with a lower ridge height — is needed so the extension properly reads as secondary to the main dwelling, protecting the visual hierarchy of the building.** **4. Side Boundary & Terracing Concerns** **Under DM28, two-storey extensions will normally be required to retain **at least a one-metre gap to the side boundary**, to avoid creating a "terraced appearance."** **The proposal must ensure this side gap is maintained (or if it is not, provide very strong justification), to avoid undermining the semi-/detached or detached character of the area.** **5. Design Quality / Local Character (Neighbourhood Plan)** **The Ringmer Neighbourhood Plan places considerable emphasis on good design. In its Village Design Statement (section 10) the Plan stresses that new development should respect local character, scale, and architectural rhythm.** **The Neighbourhood Plan's Basic Conditions Statement further underlines that the importance of good design (in line with NPPF principles) informed the Plan's design policies.** **The overhanging first-floor elevation and excessive ridge height both conflict with the Neighbourhood Plan's approach of reinforcing the local character and ensuring extensions are "subordinate" in scale.**	
12.2	**LW/25/0552 - Penlee Lewes Road Ringmer BN8 5QH** Conversion of two flats into one dwelling house, including internal and external alterations (Response by 26/11/25) **RESOLVED: Parish Council decision: Objects for the following reasons:**	

	1. Lack of Vehicular Access **The existing property has no lawful vehicular access. Ringmer Parish Council requires clarification on how construction, material delivery, and waste removal operations can be carried out without vehicles accessing or crossing Parish Council–owned land.** **2. Construction Method and Potential Impact on Parish Land and Historic Asset Given the lack of access, a Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) is essential before the proposal can be properly assessed. The CEMP must demonstrate how construction works — including the movement of diggers, plant, and waste-removal vehicles — can be undertaken without crossing Parish Council land and without risk to the adjacent Grade II listed village pump, which is a heritage asset requiring protection.** **Without this information, the Parish Council cannot be satisfied that the works could be undertaken safely or without harm to parish assets.** **3. Incorrect Red Line Boundary / Land Ownership The application documents include a red line boundary that incorrectly encompasses land owned by Ringmer Parish Council. As this land does not belong to the applicant, Ownership Certificate B should have been completed and served on the Parish Council as the legal owner.** **No such certificate has been received, and therefore the application is invalid until correct ownership certification is provided in accordance with planning legislation**	
12.3	**LW/25/0562 - The Orangery Green Lane Ringmer BN8 5AD** Prior Approval Under the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended) – Schedule 2, Part 1, Class AA; for the enlargement of a dwellinghouse by construction of additional storeys to increase the total height from 4.0m to 7.0m (Response by 19/11/25) **RESOLVED: Parish Council decision: objects to this proposal for the following reasons, including conflict with local planning policy:** **1. Excessive Scale & Harmful Visual Impact** **The proposed first-floor (upward) extension increases the scale, height, and mass of what is currently a modest, single-storey dwelling. This would be visually intrusive and out of keeping with the rural character of the area.** **Under Ringmer Neighbourhood Plan – Policy 4.1, "proposals for new development outside planning boundaries … that would have an adverse effect on the countryside or rural landscape will not be permitted unless benefits clearly outweigh the harm and there is no alternative, less harmful site."** **The proposed development does not adequately justify why such a large extension is necessary, nor demonstrate that its benefits outweigh the harm to the landscape, as required by NP Policy 4.1.** **2. Poor Design Quality in a Sensitive Landscape (AONB / Rural Countryside)** **The site lies in a sensitive rural landscape. The Lewes District Local Plan Part 2 contains detailed design and landscape policies which this proposal fails to meet.** **In particular, Policy DM27: Landscape Design requires that development "conserves and enhances the character, appearance and quality of the local landscape."** **Also, Policy DM25 (or DM28 for residential) requires that extensions and alterations are well designed, subordinate in scale, and respect the character of the original building and its surroundings. According to Policy DM28: Residential Extensions, extensions should not dominate the host building, and materials and design should complement the existing dwelling.** **The proposed upward extension, by virtue of its scale and form, does not appear subservient, fails to maintain a coherent form with the existing dwelling, and risks damaging the visual integrity of the countryside.** **3. Isolated Location and Unsustainable Development** **The dwelling is in an isolated rural location with limited access to services and public transport, which implies future occupants would be heavily car-dependent.** **This runs contrary to sustainability principles embedded in both the Ringmer Neighbourhood Plan and the Lewes Local Plan, which favour development that does not worsen reliance on private vehicles. While the NP does not explicitly allocate every policy to transport, its overall approach supports protecting the countryside and avoiding unsustainable growth. Furthermore, enlarging a dwelling in such a location increases the risk of greater environmental and traffic impact over its lifetime, which has not been mitigated or justified by the application.** **The proposal should be refused permission.**	

12.4	**LW/25/0564 - 17 Broyle Paddock Ringmer BN8 5NT** Erection of side dormer (Response by 19/11/25) **RESOLVED: Parish Council decision: No objections.**	
12.5	**LW/25/0573 - Land Opposite Lodge Cottage Lower Stoneham Ringmer** Installation of 1no. non-illuminated sign (Response by 21/11/25) **RESOLVED: Parish Council decision: No objections**	
12.6	**LW/25/0578/CD - Land to The South of The Broyle Ringmer** Discharge of Condition 5 (Pedestrian Visibility Splays) relating to approval LW/22/0282 (Determination date 25/11/25) **RESOLVED: Parish Council decision: There are concerns that the proposed path may not align with the original S106 agreement. Confirmation is requested to whether the path is 3 metres wide, as this detail is not clearly indicated on the plan.**	
12.7	**LW/25/0594 - Clayhill Woods Isfield Road Ringmer** Replacement of agricultural barn with a residential/commercial unit (retrospective), extension to hardstanding, construction of equestrian manège and associated works (Response By 03/12/25) **RESOLVED: Parish Council decision: Objects to what appears to be 2 identical appeals - APP/P1425/W/25/3365120 and APP/P1425/W/25/3365121 that were refused in October 2025.** **Parish Council maintains its objections for the same reasons detailed in its earlier planning applications:** **"LW/24/0059: Objects: It is constructed on ancient woodland without permission. The creation of a menage in ancient woodland is unacceptable; other land is available. The site is 200m away from Plashett Wood SSI, where there are 10 species of Bats including 3 very rare species".** **"LW/24/0251: Objects: The impact on ancient woodland, which is being destroyed, dark skies, not in accordance with the NPPF as in an isolated location and visual impact as 0.6m higher than previously demolished buildings and can be seen from local viewpoints".**	
12.8	**LW/25/0608/CD - Land Opposite Bishops Close Ringmer** Discharge of Condition 13 (Drainage Photographic Survey) for plots 14-60 and 66-68 of Planning Appeal Decision APP/P1425/W/22/3313204 (Refused Application LW/21/0694) (Response by 01/12/25) **RESOLVED: Parish Council decision: No comment**	
12.9	**LW/25/0629 - Downsview Farm Laughton Road Ringmer BN8 5UT** Engineering operations and excavations to enable the demolition, relocation and reconstruction of existing silage clamps and farmyard manure store and the excavation of new slurry lagoon to create a bespoke slurry and effluent manage system (Response by 24/11/25) **RESOLVED: Parish Council decision: No objection subject to confirmation that silage and slurry is not being imported from other farms.**	
13.	**Reports, Correspondence, Questions and Future Agenda Items** To consider any correspondence received after this agenda has been published for noting or action. • LG noted the flurry of emerging Solar Farm applications with the progress of the Little Horsted Substation. Little Horsted PC has reached out to LG and RPC to support concerns on the planning application for a BESS (Battery Energy Storage System) which borders Plashett Park. It was agreed for LG to liaise with Little Horsted PC on RPC's behalf.	
14.	**Urgent items at the Chairman's discretion requiring decisions which cannot be held over until the next meeting.** • A request had been received to hold Carol singing and a bonfire on Ringmer Village Green on 19th December 2025. **RESOLVED: Agreed for the Carol singing and bonfire to take place on 19th December 2025 subject to the ground being protected / reinstated.**	GS
	Meeting closed at 8.35pm	

Chairman		**Date: 09-Dec-25**

30/10/2025

10:19

Ringmer Parish Council

Balance Sheet as at 30/10/2025

31st March 2025			31st March 2026	
		Current Assets		
3,514		VAT Control A/c	400	
128,638		Barclay's Current	227,520	
51,862		Barclays Premium	344,377	
94,728		Public Sector Deposit Fund	96,807	
114,564		Redwood Bank 35 Day CIL Funds	114,564	
104,482		Redwood Bank 95 Day CIL Funds	104,482	
497,788			**888,150**	
	497,788	**Total Assets**		**888,150**
		Current Liabilities		
0			**0**	
	497,788	**Total Assets Less Current Liabilities**		**888,150**
		Represented By		
	155,875	General Reserves		237,169
	99,044	EMR - CIL - unallocated		434,150
	12,469	EMR - Comm Asset Rnl & Repair		12,372
	9,500	EMR - Elections		10,300
	389	EMR - Office Equipment Replace		1,832
	500	EMR - Speed Reduction		500
	1,440	EMR - Old Church		1,440
	18,406	EMR - Lucy Stone Precinct proj		18,406
	0	EMR - Play Equipment Replaceme		10,000
	7,895	EMR - Open Spaces Maintenance		12,350
	24,747	EMR - CIL - Ringmer NHP		24,747
	7,592	EMR - CIL - Bus Shelters		0
	9,526	EMR - CIL - Skatepark		9,526
	32,000	EMR - CIL - Shopping Precinct		32,000
	50,000	EMR - CIL - Cyclepath Extn		50,000
	13,000	EMR - CIL - Village Gateway		13,000
	35,000	EMR - CIL - Ringmer Rifle Club		0
	13,565	EMR -Lightsource Grant Funds		13,565
	6,842	EMR - Almond Field		6,794
	497,788			**888,150**

30/10/2025

10:19

Ringmer Parish Council

Balance Sheet as at 30/10/2025

31st March 2025	**31st March 2026**

The above statement represents fairly the financial position of the authority as at 30/10/2025 and reflects its Income and Expenditure during the year.

Signed :
Chairman _____ Date : _____

Signed :
Responsible
Financial _____ Date :_____

Ringmer Parish Council

Summary Income & Expenditure by Budget Heading 30/10/2025

Cost Centre Report

			Actual Year To Date	Current Annual Bud	Variance Annual Total	Committed Expenditure	Funds Available	% Spent
100	Council	Income	457,694	165,450	(292,244)			276.6%
		Expenditure	819	1,800	981		981	45.5%
		Net Income over Expenditure	456,875	163,650	(293,225)			
		plus Transfer from EMR	0	0	0			
		less Transfer to EMR	292,515	0	(292,515)			
		Movement to/(from) Gen Reserve	(128,154)	163,650	(711)			
110	Staffing	Expenditure	38,910	73,783	34,873		34,873	52.7%
120	Office & General	Income	10	0	(10)			0.0%
		Expenditure	14,348	39,671	25,323		25,323	36.2%
		Net Income over Expenditure	(14,338)	(39,671)	(25,333)			
		plus Transfer from EMR	-243	0	243			
		less Transfer to EMR	0	0	0			
		Movement to/(from) Gen Reserve	(14,581)	(39,671)	(25,090)			
200	Allotments	Income	86	1,014	928			8.5%
		Expenditure	736	950	214		214	77.4%
		Movement to/(from) Gen Reserve	(650)					
210	Amenities	Expenditure	1,006	6,921	5,915		5,915	14.5%
		plus Transfer from EMR	0	0	0			
		Movement to/(from) Gen Reserve	(1,006)	(6,921)	(5,915)			
300	Village Green	Expenditure	372	2,260	1,888		1,888	16.5%
		plus Transfer from EMR	0	0	0			
		less Transfer to EMR	0	0	0			
		Movement to/(from) Gen Reserve	(372)	(2,260)	(1,888)			
310	Jubilee Rose Garden	Expenditure	260	1,000	740		740	26.0%
		plus Transfer from EMR	240	0	(240)			
		Movement to/(from) Gen Reserve	(20)	(1,000)	(980)			
315	Sadlers Way/Middleham Close	Expenditure	0	600	600		600	0.0%
320	Anchor Field	Expenditure	0	1,100	1,100		1,100	0.0%
330	Fingerpost Field	Expenditure	200	4,000	3,800		3,800	5.0%
		plus Transfer from EMR	97	0	(97)			
		Movement to/(from) Gen Reserve	(103)	(4,000)	(3,897)			
337	Almond Field	Expenditure	48	250	202		202	19.2%
		plus Transfer from EMR	48	0	(48)			
		less Transfer to EMR	0	0	0			
		Movement to/(from) Gen Reserve	0	(250)	(250)			
340	Broyle Lane / Broyle Close	Expenditure	156	2,365	2,209		2,209	6.6%
		plus Transfer from EMR	0	0	0			
		Movement to/(from) Gen Reserve	(156)	(2,365)	(2,209)			

Continued over page

			Actual Year To Date	Current Annual Bud	Variance Annual Total	Committed Expenditure	Funds Available	% Spent
355	Pond	Expenditure	10	10	0		0	100.0%
360	Play Area Inspections	Expenditure	1,365	3,600	2,235		2,235	37.9%
370	Waste	Expenditure	1,970	5,000	3,030		3,030	39.4%
		plus Transfer from EMR	0	0	0			
		Movement to/(from) Gen Reserve	(1,970)	(5,000)	(3,030)			
380	Open Spaces	Expenditure	4,876	14,835	9,959		9,959	32.9%
		plus Transfer from EMR	305	0	(305)			
		Movement to/(from) Gen Reserve	(4,570)	(14,835)	(10,265)			
390	Other Areas	Expenditure	2,354	8,819	6,465		6,465	26.7%
		plus Transfer from EMR	0	0	0			
		Movement to/(from) Gen Reserve	(2,354)	(8,819)	(6,465)			
		Grand Totals:- Income	457,790	166,464	(291,326)			275.0%
		Expenditure	67,428	166,964	99,536	0	99,536	40.4%
		Net Income over Expenditure	390,362	(500)	(390,862)			
		plus Transfer from EMR	447	0	(447)			
		less Transfer to EMR	292,515	0	(292,515)			
		Movement to/(from) Gen Reserve	98,294	(500)	(98,794)			

Ringmer Parish Council

Bank - Cash and Investment Reconciliation as at 30 October 2025

		Account Description	Balance	
Bank Statement Balances				
1	28/10/2025	Barclays Current	227,519.63	
2	28/10/2025	Barclays Premium	344,376.76	
6	30/09/2025	Redwood Bank 95 Day CIL Funds	104,482.14	
7	30/09/2025	Redwood Bank 35 Day CIL Funds	114,563.88	
				790,942.41
Other Cash & Bank Balances				
		Public Sector Deposit Fund	96,807.20	
				96,807.20
				887,749.61
Receipts not on Bank Statement				
0	30/10/2025	All Receipts Cleared	0.00	
				0.00
Closing Balance				887,749.61
All Cash & Bank Accounts				
1		Barclay's Current		227,519.63
2		Barclays Premium		344,376.76
6		Redwood Bank 95 Day CIL Funds		104,482.14
7		Redwood Bank 35 Day CIL Funds		114,563.88
		Other Cash & Bank Balances		96,807.20
		Total Cash & Bank Balances		887,749.61

Chairman:_____

11.11.25

Date: 30/10/2025

Time: 10:27

Ringmer Parish Council

Barclay's Current

List of Payments made between 01/10/2025 and 30/10/2025

Date Paid	Payee Name	Reference	Amount Paid	Authorized Ref	Transaction Detail
01/10/2025	Lewes District Council	145	234.00		Playground Inspections
01/10/2025	Ash Towner Ltd	146	156.12		IT Support
08/10/2025	Lewes District Council	147	294.00		Bins
09/10/2025	Signs of Cheshire	148	150.00		Noticeboard Perspex
13/10/2025	Adobe Systems Ltd	BACS	19.97		Adobe Licence
15/10/2025	O2 Mobile Phones	150	13.20	Mobile	O2 Mobile Phones
17/10/2025	EDF Energy	151	342.31		Streetlight electricity supply
22/10/2025	Zen Internet Ltd	152	44.57		Broadband and landline
23/10/2025	ODM	153	115.86		Stationery
23/10/2025	Mulberry LA Services Ltd	154	116.94		Internal Audit
27/10/2025	Countrywide Grounds Maintenanc	155	1,157.90		Grass - contracted
27/10/2025	Nest Pension	156	265.99		Pension October
27/10/2025	Isla Francis	160	44.00		Office Cleaning
27/10/2025	Salaries	158	3,834.38		Salary October
27/10/2025	HMRC Paye/NI	157	1,479.19		Tax/NI October
27/10/2025	Barclays Premium	Cil Funds	241,564.10		Cil Funds received

Total Payments 249,832.51

Chairman:_____

Date: 11.11.25